

Verifying the metrological usefulness of Dicke states with collective measurements

Precision bound for phase estimation for noisy Dicke states

lagoba Apellaniz¹

Work together with B. Lücke 2 , J. Peise 2 , C. Klempt 2 & G. Tóth 1,3,4

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU. ²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover. ³IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science. ⁴Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

DPG Spring Meeting - Heidelberg - March 24^{th}



Introduction and motivation

Precision bound with few collective measurements

The parameter dependence of the precision, $(\Delta\theta)^2(\theta)$ The optimal parameter value, $\theta_{\rm opt}$

Application of the result

Testing our approach for various theoretical models Using the result for experimental data

Conclusions



- Quantum Metrology exploits the quantumness of a many-body system to improve the precision (Δθ) of the estimation problem.
- **Entanglement** is a resource for such improvement.
- Many experiments create quantum states with large scale entanglement.
- It is important to find ways to verify their metrological usefulness with simple measurements.

Metrology with polarized states





The precision bounds and their scaling





 $\Delta\theta\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta\theta\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{mN}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta\theta\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{mN^{\beta}}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta\theta\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{mN}}$



Basic task in metrology: estimate the homogeneous magnetic field, B_y , with N qubits.

Interaction with the magnetic magnetic field

$$H = \gamma \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{y}} J_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Unitary dynamics

$$U = \exp(-i\theta J_y),$$

where $\theta = \gamma B_y t$.

Collective observables

$$J_l = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma_l^{(i)}}{2},$$

for $l \in \{x,y,z\}$ and where $\sigma_l^{(i)}$ are Pauli matrices.



Shot-noise limit

$$\Delta \theta \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Heisenberg limit

$$\Delta \theta \ge \frac{1}{N}.$$

 \blacktriangleright Precision bound when local noise affects the system and when $N\gg 1,$

$$\Delta \theta \ge \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{N}}.$$

[V. Giovannetti, et al., Science **306** 1330-1336 (2004)]
[B. Escher, et al., Nat. Phys. **7** 406-411 (2011)]
[R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański et al., Nat. Commun. **3**, 1063 (2012)]



Non-polarized states are better for metrology

$$\Delta \theta \ge 1 \left/ \sqrt{2N + N^2 \left(1 - \frac{\langle J_z \rangle^2}{J_{\max}^2} \right)} \right.$$

[G. Tóth, IA, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47, 424006 (2014)]

 Even if many experiments have been done with polarised ensembles, unpolarised ensembles are becoming trendy

[B. Lücke, et al., Science **334**, 773 (2011)] [I. Urizar-Lanz, et al., Phys. Rev. A **88**, 013626]

Magnetic field induces rotation on Dicke states





[B. Lücke, et al., Science 334, 773 (2011)]

 \blacktriangleright In this work, the measurement of $\langle J_z^2\rangle$ has been considered to estimate $\theta,$

$$(\Delta\theta)^2 = \frac{(\Delta J_z^2)^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle J_z^2 \rangle|^2}.$$

Precision Bound, measuring $\langle J_z^2 \rangle$



Using the error propagation formula

$$(\Delta\theta)^2 = \frac{(\Delta J_z^2)^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle J_z^2 \rangle|^2} = \frac{\langle J_z^4 \rangle - \langle J_z^2 \rangle^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle J_z^2 \rangle|^2}.$$

• We assume that $\langle J_z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle J_z^4 \rangle$ are even functions of θ , which is true in most relevant cases.

We obtain,

$$\begin{split} \langle J_z^2(\theta) \rangle &= \langle J_z^2 \rangle \cos^2(\theta) + \langle J_x^2 \rangle \sin^2(\theta), \\ \langle J_z^4(\theta) \rangle &= \langle J_z^4 \rangle \cos^4(\theta) + \langle J_x^4 \rangle \sin^4(\theta) \\ &+ \left(\langle \{J_z, J_x\}^2 \rangle + \langle \{J_z^2, J_x^2\} \rangle \right) \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\theta). \end{split}$$

Precision dynamics and optimal parameter

5,3

Precision bound written with initial expectation values

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta\theta)^2 &= \frac{(\Delta J_x^2)^2 f(\theta) + 4\langle J_x^2 \rangle - 3\langle J_y^2 \rangle - 2\langle J_z^2 \rangle (1 + \langle J_x^2 \rangle) + 6\langle J_z J_x^2 J_z \rangle}{4\langle \langle J_x^2 \rangle - \langle J_z^2 \rangle \rangle^2}, \\ f(\theta) &:= \frac{(\Delta J_z^2)^2}{(\Delta J_x^2)^2} \frac{1}{\tan^2(\theta)} + \tan^2(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

• **Optimal** θ for the precision

$$\tan^2(\theta_{\text{opt}}) = \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta J_z^2)^2}{(\Delta J_x^2)^2}}.$$

For Dicke states $|\frac{N}{2}, 0\rangle$,

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\rm opt}^2 = \frac{1}{4\langle J_x^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{F_Q[|\frac{N}{2},0\rangle,J_y]}$$





One can see that the optimal value is at $\theta_{\rm opt} \approx 0.005$.

[IA, B. Lücke, J. Peise, C. Klempt and G. Tóth, arxiv:1412.3426]



N = 100 particle system



(left) Our bound compared to the Cramér-Rao bound (dashed) for the pure state, ground state of $H = J_z^2 - \lambda J_x$. (right) The same for thermal state $\rho \propto \sum e^{-\frac{m^2}{T}} |\frac{N}{2}, m\rangle \langle \frac{N}{2}, m|$.

Using the bound with experimental data

Ę,

We approximate $\langle J_z^4\rangle\approx 3\langle J_z^2\rangle^2$ and we bound the 4^th moments with 2^nd order ones.

 $N = 7900, \quad \langle J_x^2 \rangle = 6.1 \times 10^6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6, \quad \langle J_z^2 \rangle = 112 \pm 31$





- We have developed a method to estimate the metrological precision for Dicke states.
- Our method needs the the second and forth moments of collective angular momentum components.
- We can also get a somewhat worse lower bound with second order moment only.
- We tested our method for an experiment with 8000 particles, creating a Dicke states in cold gases.



IA, Bernd Lücke, Jan Peise, Carsten Klempt & Géza Tóth Verifying the metrological usefulness of Dicke states with collective measurements arxiv.org:1412.3426.

THANK YOU FOR YOU ATTENTION !





Established by the European Commission



